# OPINION 1175 MONSTRILLA INTERMEDIA KRICZAGIN, 1877 (COPEPODA) SUPPRESSED

RULING.— (1) Under the plenary powers the specific name *intermedia* Kriczagin, 1877, as published in the binomen *Monstrilla intermedia* is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Law of Priority but not for those of the Law of Homonymy.

(2) The following names are hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Numbers speci-

fied:

(a) grandis Giesbrecht, 1891, as published in the binomen Monstrilla grandis (Name Number 2734);

(b) longicornis Thompson, 1890, as published in the binomen Monstrilla longicornis (Name Number 2735).

(3) The following names are hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology with the

Name Numbers specified:

(a) intermedia Kriczagin, 1877, as published in the binomen Monstrilla intermedia, and as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) above (Name Number 1075):

(b) intermedia Aurivillius, 1898, as published in the binomen Monstrilla intermedia, a junior primary homonym of Monstrilla intermedia Kriczagin,

1877 (Name Number 1076).

# HISTORY OF THE CASE Z.N.(S.)2098

An application for the conservation of *Monstrilla grandis* Giesbrecht, 1891 was first received from Dr M.J. Isaac (*University College of Swansea*, *U.K.*) on 19 November 1974. After some correspondence it was sent to the printer on 16 May 1975 and published on 22 September 1975 in *Bull. zool. Nom.* vol. 32, pp. 171-172. Public notice of the possible use of the plenary powers in the case was given in the same part of the *Bulletin* as well as to the statutory serials and to five general and one specialised serial. No comment was received.

# **DECISION OF THE COMMISSION**

On 9 April 1980 the members of the Commission were invited to vote under the Three-Month Rule on Voting Paper (1980)9 for or against the proposals set out in *Bull. zool. Nom.* 

vol. 32, p. 171. At the close of the voting period on 9 July 1980

the state of the voting was as follows:

Affirmative Votes — seventeen (17) received in the following order: Melville, Holthuis, Vokes, Corliss, Brinck, Trjapitzin, Mroczkowski, Bayer, Hahn, Starobogatov, Habe, Halvorsen, Alvarado, Binder, Cogger, Tortonese, Welch

Negative Votes - six (6): Willink, Kraus, Sabrosky, Nye,

Dupuis, Bernardi

No voting papers were returned by Heppell and Ride.

The following comments were returned by members of the

Commission with their voting papers:

Willink: 'In this special case I don't think it will cause too many problems to start to use again the first name given to the species.'

Kraus: 'There is no statement by the applicant indicating that

the species in question has a more general importance.'

Nye: 'Although I would agree to granting M. grandis nomenclatural precedence over M. intermedia if the two names are applied to the same taxon, I am not convinced that the senior name should

be unconditionally suppressed.'

Bernardi: 'Ces Copépodes n'ont, je suppose, aucun intérêt économique. Il est donc bien inutile d'officialiser l'erreur nomenclatorique de Dolpogolskaya, 1948, puisque, par ailleurs, elle a montré que M. grandis est un synonyme plus récent de M. intermedia Kriczagin. D'autre part, l'existence de deux homonymes (intermedia Kriczagin et intermedia Aurivillius) ne constitue aucunement une source de confusion, puisque intermedia Aurivillius n'est pas employé, étant un synonyme plus récent de longicornis. L'intervention de la Commission est ici bien inutile.'

# **ORIGINAL REFERENCES**

The following are the original references for names placed on an Official List and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

grandis, Monstrilla, Giesbrecht, 1891, Atti Accad.naz. Lincei,

Rendiconti, vol. 7, Sem. 1, p. 476

intermedia, Monstrilla, Aurivillius, 1898, K. svenska Vetensk. Akad. Handl. vol. 30, pp. 39-40

intermedia, Monstrilla, Kriczagin, 1877, Zap. kiev. Obshsch. Estest., vol. 5, pp. 17-21

longicornis, Monstrilla, J.C. Thompson, 1890, Proc. Trans. Liverpool biol. Soc. vol. 4, p. 119.

#### CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the votes cast on V.P.(80)9 were cast as set out above, that the proposal contained in that voting paper has been duly adopted under the plenary powers, and that the decision so taken, being the decision of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, is truly recorded in the present Opinion No. 1175.

R.V. MELVILLE Secretary International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature London 16 September 1980